Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,143	HARTEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles G Freay	3746
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Charles G Freay</u> .	(3)	
(2) Bruce W. Slayden II.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 April 2005	Time: <u>3:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
	•	
Claims discussed:		
1, 7 and 15	•	•
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The changes as set forth in the examiner's amendment were agre language and typographical errors.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
•		
Aur & Inma		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) \ (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)